

Monday, February 5, 2007

Bush's Budget does NOT cut spending

Oh here we go with the lies from Washington.

The media lies too, for example, right here: <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/16989248/>

â€œIn real terms, Bushâ€™s plan is going to have very substantial cuts by the fifth year of this budget in all of the domestic priorities from education and health care to law enforcement and veterans,â€• Conrad said. â€œWith Democrats in control, we will have different priorities.â€•

Uh huh. Pull the other one Conrad.

Only in the world of Washington is a slower increase in spending "a cut."

If you spend \$100 on groceries this week, and next week spend \$105, that's an increase. But in Washington, if you spent \$100 on Medicare this week, wanted to spend \$110 on it next week, but actually only spent \$105, that's "a cut".

Of course if you actually read the above story, you learn that these "cuts" are in fact just smaller increases. But the byline says "*Big increases for the military, cuts for domestic programs*"

As with most things in the media and big government, its not about the truth any more.

Its all about spin.

We, as Americans, should demand better.

Posted by Karl Denninger at 15:56

While I agree with you in principle, I think you are semantically incorrect. If I have budgeted \$110 for groceries, and then I reduce that to \$105, I have, in fact, cut that budget although I have still increased spending for groceries. Anonymous on Aug 12 2007, 03:48